Logan Godkin

All questions taken from the book.

5.1.3 Let W_n denote a random variable with mean μ and variance b/n^p , where $p > 0, \mu$, and b are constants (not functions of n). Prove that W_n converges in probability to μ .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P[|W_n - \mu| \ge \varepsilon] \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E[(W_n - \mu)^2]}{\varepsilon^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}(W_n)}{\varepsilon^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b}{\varepsilon^2 n^p} = 0,$$

Chebychev's inequality where $q(x) = x^2$. Hence $W_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$.

using Chebychev's inequality where $g(x) = x^2$. Hence $W_n \xrightarrow{r} \mu$.

4.2.12 Let Y be b(300, p). If the observed value of Y is y = 75, find an approximate 90% confidence interval for p.

Solution. We have n = 300 and a porportion p = 75/300 = .25. We have $1 - \alpha = .90 \implies \alpha = .1$ Thus $z_{\alpha/2} = 1.645$. Thus the lower limit is $.25 - (1.645)(\frac{\sqrt{.25(1-.25)}}{\sqrt{300}}) = .209$ and the upper limit is $.25 + (1.645)(\frac{\sqrt{.25(1-.25)}}{\sqrt{300}}) = .291$. Hence we have a 90% confidence interval for (.21, .29). \triangle

4.2.21 Let two independent random samples, each of size 10, from two normal distributions $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ yield $\bar{x} = 4.8, s_1^2 = 8.64, \bar{y} = 5.6, s_2^2 = 7.88$. Find a 95% confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

Solution. We use equation 4.2.13. We have $n_1 = n_1 = 10$. Thus $n = n_1 + n_2 = 20$. We have $1 - \alpha = .95 \implies \alpha = .05$. Then $t_{.025,18} = 2.101$. Thus the lower limit is

$$(4.8 - 5.6) - (2.101)\sqrt{\frac{(9)(8.64) + (9)(7.88)}{18}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}} = -3.5$$

and the upper limit is

$$(4.8 - 5.6) + (2.101)\sqrt{\frac{(9)(8.64) + (9)(7.88)}{18}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}} = 1.9$$

Thus we have a 95% confidence interval for (-3.5, 1.9).

- 4.6.08 Let p equal the proportion of drivers who use a seat belt in a country that does not a mandatory seat belt law. It was claimed that p = .14. An advertising campaign was conducted to increase this proportion. Two months after the campaign, y = 104 out of a random sample of n = 590 drivers were wearing their seat belts. Was the campaign succesful?
 - (a) Define the null and alternative hypotheses.

Solution. Define
$$H_0: p = .14$$
 and $H_1: p > .14$.

(b) Define a critical region with an $\alpha = .01$ significance level.

Solution. The critical region is

$$C = \{ z : z \ge 2.326 \} \,,$$

where

$$z = \frac{\frac{y}{n} - .14}{\sqrt{(.14)(.86)}} \sqrt{n}.$$

 \triangle

(c) Determine the approximate *p*-value and state your conclusion.

Solution. We have the observed value of

$$z = \frac{\frac{104}{590} - .14}{\sqrt{(.14)(.86)}}\sqrt{590} = 2.539.$$

Thus H_0 is rejected since 2.539 > 2.326. We conclude that the campaign was succesful.

6.1.02 Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from each of the distributions have the following pdfs: (a) f(x; θ) = θx^{θ-1}, 0 < x < 1, 0 < θ < ∞, zero elsewhere.
(b) f(x; θ) = e^{-(x-θ)}, 0 ≤ x < ∞, -∞ < θ < ∞, zero elsewhere. Note this ia a nonregular case.

In each case find the mle $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .

Solution. (a) We have

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta x_i^{\theta-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} l(\theta) &= \log[L(\theta)] = \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\theta x^{\theta-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i^{\theta-1}) \\ &= n\log(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta-1)\log(x_i). \end{split}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to θ and setting equal to zero we have

$$l'(\theta) = 0 \iff \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) = 0 \iff \hat{\theta} = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i)}.$$

(b) We have

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-(x_i - \theta)},$$

if $x_i \ge \theta$ for all *i*, otherwise $L(\theta) = 0$. Then

$$l(\theta) = \log[L(\theta)] = \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-(x_i - \theta)}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(e^{-(x_i - \theta)}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta).$$

Taking the derivative with respect to θ we have

$$l'(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1) = n.$$

Hence $l(\theta)$ is an increasing function. Thus $\hat{\theta} = \min \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.

6.2.07 Let X have a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = \theta > 0$.

(a) Find the Fisher information $I(\theta)$.

Solution. We have
$$f(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right)$$
. The Fisher information is
$$I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right].$$

Then

$$\log f(x;\theta) = -\log\left(\Gamma(\alpha)\right) - \alpha\log(\beta) + \log(x^{\alpha-1}) - \frac{x}{\beta}$$
$$\implies \frac{\partial \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{x}{\beta^2}$$
$$\implies \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial^2 \theta} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} - \frac{2x}{\beta^3}$$
$$\Rightarrow -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \frac{2E[X]}{\beta^3} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{\beta^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}.$$

Thus $I(\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} = \frac{4}{\theta^2}$.

 \triangle

 \triangle

(b) If X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, show that the mle of θ is an efficient estimator of θ .

Solution. First note that the mle $\hat{\theta}$ of θ is $\frac{1}{\alpha}\overline{X}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{\theta}) = \operatorname{Var}(\frac{1}{\alpha}\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} = \frac{\theta^2}{4}.$$

Thus the mle of θ is an efficient estimator of θ since $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$.

 \bigtriangleup